

Article



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

# Historical zooplankton composition indicates eutrophication stages in a Neotropical aquatic system: the case of Lake Amatitlán, Central America

Sarahi Jaime 1\*, Adrián Cervantes-Martínez 1, Martha A. Gutiérrez-Aguirre 1, Eduardo Suárez-Morales 2, Julio R. Juárez-Pernillo 3, Elena M. Reyes-Solares 3, and Victor H. Delgado-Blas1

> <sup>1</sup> Universidad de Quintana Roo (UQROO), Avenida Andrés Quintana Roo. Col. San Gervasio, Cozumel 77600, Quintana Roo, Mexico; 1518305@uqroo.mx; adcervantes@uqroo.edu.mx, margutierrez@uqroo.edu.mx
> <sup>2</sup> El Colegio de la Frontera Sur (ECOSUR), Avenida Centenario Km 5.5, Chetumal 77014, Quintana Roo, Me-

- <sup>3</sup> Autoridad para el Manejo Sustentable de la cuenca del lago de Amatitlán (AMSA); Kilómetro 22 CA-9, Bárcenas Villanueva, 6624-1700, Guatemala; jipernillo@gmail.com, elena11reyes@gmail.com.
- \* Correspondence: 1518305@uqroo.mx

Abstract: This paper presents a study of freshwater zooplankton biodiversity, deemed as a reliable 14indicator of water quality. The Guatemalan Lake Amatitlán, currently used as a water source, has 15 shown signs of progressive eutrophication, with perceptible variations of the local zooplankton di-16 versity. Biotic and abiotic parameters were determined at four sites of Lake Amatitlán (i. e., Este 17 Centro, Oeste Centro, Bahía Playa de Oro and Michatoya) in 2016 and 2017. The local composition, 18 species richness and abundance of zooplankton, and the system environmental parameters were 19 analyzed during both years surveyed. Biological data suggesting eutrophication of this tropical sys-20 tem were obtained, including a high rotifer abundance (11 species: the rotifers Brachionus havanaensis 21 (109 ind  $L^{-1}$ ) and *Keratella americana* (304 ind  $L^{-1}$ ) were the most abundant species in this lake). The 22 presumably endemic diaptomid copepod species Mastigodiaptomus amatitlanensis, was absent in our 23 samples, but we report the unprecedented occurrence of two Asian cyclopoid copepods (i. e., Ther-24 mocyclops crassus, Mesocyclops thermocyclopoides) for Lake Amatitlán and Guatemala. The presence 25 of larger zooplankters like adults and immature copepods (Arctodiaptomus dorsalis) and cladocerans 26 (Ceriodaphnia sp.) at site "Este Centro" indicates a relatively healthy zooplankton community and 27 represents a focal point for managing the conservation of this lake. 28

Keywords: conservation; eutrophication; exotic species; tropical lakes; zooplankton

# 29 30

31

# 1. Introduction

The knowledge of zooplankton in the Neotropical region is growing with fragmented studies. Therefore, it is likely that the species richness of zooplanktonic taxa is underestimated because of the presumably high diversity and the scarcity of zooplankton taxonomists [1-3]. In addition, the progressive destruction of aquatic habitat and the progressive spread of exotic species threaten native biodiversity, ecosystem health, and environmental services. 37

The zooplankton community and abundance are closely linked to the trophic state 38 of the water system, for this reason, its diversity has been deemed as an indicator of water 39 quality [4]. In eutrophicated systems (at tropical and temperate latitudes), the dominance 40 of microzooplankton is common, compared to larger organisms, due to the increased 41 availability of food and water conditions [5,6] 42

For 4 decades, the Guatemalan Lake Amatitlán has shown signs of progressive eutrophication related to anthropic factors (i. e. peripheral population growth and 44

Citation: Lastname, F.; Lastname, F.; Lastname, F. Title. *Diversity* **2021**, *13*, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxx

Academic Editor: Firstname Lastname

Received: date Accepted: date Published: date

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

xico; esuarez@ecosur.mx.

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

urbanization, intensive use of water for agricultural irrigation), thus, promoting the ad-45 vancement towards eutrophication related to the input of nearly 50% of the untreated re-46 sidual urban and industrial waters from Guatemala City [7-10]. Because of this, some ac-47 tions have been proposed to address this problem, either from the governmental level (i. 48 e., Autoridad para el Manejo Sustentable de la cuenca del lago Amatitlán, AMSA 1996) 49 and from descriptive studies of the lake involving the lake zooplankton biodiversity, like 50 those by Basterrechea-Díaz (1997) [7] and Brandorff (2012) [11]; however, studies related 51 with tropical epicontinental waterbodies have been more focused on environmental fac-52 tors rather than biological or community attributes or general limnology [12,13]; thus, the 53 zooplankton biodiversity in Guatemala remains largely unknown [14], with only a few 54 studies in Guatemalan lakes [15, 16]. Most studies in Lake Amatitlán and Guatemala, are 55 more focused on current data instead of historical analysis. 56

Based on the analysis of both, historical and current data of zooplankton biodiversity and environmental conditions of Lake Amatitlán, we present information on the zooplankton distribution, species richness, abundance, and its relation with successive changes of its trophic state.

# 2. Materials and Methods

# 2.1. Study sites and sampling methods

Lake Amatitlán is the fourth largest lake in Guatemala, Central America, and one of the most emblematic waterbodies of this country. This lake is a warm monomictic waterbody in the highland of Guatemala, located at an altitude of 1,186 meters above sea level (m.a.s.l.), with an area of 15.2 km<sup>2</sup> and 11 km length and a maximum depth of 23 m. Its formation is originated from volcanic activity of Pacaya, Fuego, and Agua in the late quaternary. [10,14,17].

Four sampled sites were considered: Este Centro (EC), Oeste Centro (OC), Bahía 69 Playa de Oro (BPO) and Michatoya (MICH) to analyze the zooplankton species that inhabit the east and west regions of Lake Amatitlán (Figure 1). The latter two sites (BPO and 71 MICH) are in the runoff of Villalobos and Michatoya rivers respectively [14]. Water samples for biotic and abiotic variables were collected for 2016 and 2017 in the rainy (May-October) and dry seasons (November-April). 74



Figure 1. Location of Lake Amatitlán and sampling points with information of biotic collection 76 methods. Water filtered, vertical and horizontal trawls as defined by Cervantes-Martínez & Gutiér-77 rez-Aguirre (2015) [2].

#### 2.1.1. Species richness

Zooplankton samples (n = 8) were collected by vertical and horizontal trawls with a 45 μm plankton net between 1-22 meters depth, to ensure representative samples to eval-81 uate the species richness in the lake, since it is well known that zooplankton tends to have 82 vertical and horizontal migrations [2].

## 2.1.2. Species abundance and abiotic variables

To estimate the zooplankton abundance, a known volume of water between 30 to 85 100 liters was filtered through a 45 µm zooplankton net. The water was determined with 86 a 2.1 L-1 capacity Van Dorn bottle [2, 18]. Species abundance was determined by the ac-87 count of two main groups: Rotifera and Copepoda, present in 3 aliquots of 1 ml each from 88 the filtered samples, then the data were standardized as individuals per liter (ind L-1) in 89 each sampled site [19]. 90

Abiotic variables were measured in situ monthly for both years of study and in all 91 the water column, with the multiparametric proves WTW Cond 197i, WTW Oxi 1970i, and 92 HACH HQ for water temperature (°C), pH, oxygen concentration O<sub>2</sub> (mg L<sup>-1</sup>), total dis-93 solved solids (mg  $L^{-1}$ ), and conductivity ( $\mu$ S cm<sup>-1</sup>). With the actual environmental, richness 94 and zooplankton abundance data, a description about the trophic state of Lake Amatitlán, 95 was proposed.

## 2.2. Historical and actual records of zooplankton and environmental parameters analysis

Specific classification of Rotifera, Cladocera and Copepoda of recently collected sam-98 ples (collected in 2016, and 2017) was done according to Koste (1978) [20], Fontaneto & De 99 Smet (2015) [21], Elías-Gutiérrez et al. (2008) [22] and Suárez-Morales et al. (2020) [23]. 100

The presence/absence of the current zooplankton inventory was compared with pre-101 vious surveys by Juday (1915) [24], Basterrechea-Díaz (1997) [7], and the record of cope-102 pods from the previous surveys of Wilson (1941) [25], and Brandorff (2012) [11], in order 103 to analyze the historical composition of zooplankton of Amatitlán lake. 104

Historical environmental data recorded by Juday (1915) [24], Brezonik & Fox (1974) 105 [26], Basterrechea-Díaz (1997) [7], and Ellenberg (2014) [27] were compared with the current data surveyed in this study. 107

# 3. Results

#### 3.1.1. Species richness

A total of 15 species of zooplankters including rotifers and crustaceans were found 110 in the lake for 2016-2017 (Table 1); rotifers showed the highest species richness (80% of 111 zooplankton species recorded) while copepods represented 20% of all zooplankton spe-112 cies in the lake. 113

We provide the first record of two cyclopoid exotic species (Mesocyclops thermocyclo-114 poides and Thermocyclops crassus) for Lake Amatitlán and Guatemala. The endemic calan-115 oid copepod Mastigodiaptomus amatitlanensis, was absent in our current survey and the 116 record of Arctodiaptomus dorsalis in Amatitlán was confirmed here. Cladoceran crustaceans 117 were very scarce in our samples, a single specimen of *Ceriodaphnia* sp. was observed. The 118 Brachionidae was the family with the highest species richness among rotifers in 2016 and 119 2017 (Table 1). 120

Nowadays, the east region (site EC) of Lake Amatitlán had the highest species rich-121 ness in the lake (14 species), compared with western region (9 species including the exotic 122 T. crassus). The largest zooplankters of the lake, including the cladoceran Ceriodaphnia, (~2 123

78

79 80

83

84

96

97

106

108

134

135

136

137

138

mm) [21], the calanoid copepod *A. dorsalis* and the cyclopoid copepod *M. thermocyclopoides* 124 occurred in eastern region. 125

Our revision of the zooplankton community (Table 1) indicates that the historical 126 data presented a great microcrustacean richness with the record of 8 cladoceran species 127 (Dapnia sp., D. hyalina, Ceriodaphnia sp. C. lacustris, C. pulchella, Bosmina sp., B. longirostris, 128 and Chydorus sphaericus) and the 3 calanoid copepods: A. dorsalis, Mastigodiaptomus albu-129 querquensis, and the endemic M. amatitlanensis. The historical record of rotifers had the 130 lowest species richness including three monogonont species. In our survey, the rotifer 131 species richness increased significantly with 12 species not hitherto reported from the 132 lake, including the record of organisms from the Subclass Bdelloidea. 133

**Table 1.** Current and historical records of zooplankton species richness in Lake Amatitlán. The currently recorded species in columns 1) EC, 2) OC, 3) BPO and 4) MICH. Historical records in columns 5-8, following data by Brandorff (2012) [11] Basterrechea-Díaz (1997) [7]; Wilson (1941) [25]; and Juday (1915) [24], respectively. Presence (x), absence (-), new records (\*).

	Current Data				Historical Data			
Species	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Phylum: Rotifera								
Monogononta: Ploimida								
Family: Epiphanidae Harring, 1913								
Epiphanes macroura Barrois & Daday, 1894*	х	x	-	-	-	-	-	-
Family: Brachionidae Ehrenberg, 1838	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Anuraeopsis fissa (Gosse, 1851)*	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Brachionus angularis (Gosse, 1851) *	х	x	х	x	-	-	-	-
B. calyciflorus Pallas, 1766 *	х	x	х	x	-	-	-	-
B. plicatilis Müeller, 1786 *	х	-	-	x	-	-	-	-
B. havanaensis Rousselet, 1911*	х	x	x	x	-	-	-	-
Keratella sp.	-	-	-	-	-	x	-	-
K. americana Carlin, 1943*	х	х	х	х	-	-	-	-
K. cochleraris (Gosse, 1851)	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	х
Family: Trichocercidae Harring, 1913								
Trichocerca cf. longiseta (Schrank, 1802)*	-	х	х	х	-	-	-	-
T. pusilla (Lauterborn, 1898)*	-	х	х	х	-	-	-	-
Family: Asplanchnidae Eckstein, 1883								
Asplanchna sieboldi (Levdig, 1854)*	х	х	х	_	-	-	-	-
Flosculariaceae: Family: Trochosphaeridae Harring, 1913								
<i>Filinia longiseta</i> (Ehrenberg, 1834)	x	x	x	x	-	-	-	х
<i>F. terminalis</i> (Plate, 1886) *	х	x	x	-	-	-	-	-
Subclass: Bdelloidea*	x	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Superclass: Crustacea								
Brachiopoda: Cladocera: Anomopoda								
Family: Daphniidae Straus, 1820								
Daphnia sp.	-	-	-	-	-	x	-	-
D. hyalina Levdig, 1860	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х
<i>Ceriodaphnia</i> sp.	х	-	-	-	-	x	-	х
C. lacustris Birge, 1893	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х
C. pulchella Sars, 1862	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х
Family: Bosminidae Sars, 1865								
Bosmina sp.	-	-	-	-	-	x	-	-
Bosmina longirostris O. F. Müeller, 1776	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х
Family: Chydoridae Stebbing, 1902								
Chudorus sphaericus (O.F. Müeller, 1785)	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	х
Copepoda: Calanoida								
Family: Diaptomidae G.O. Sars, 1932								
Subfamily: Diaptominae Kiefer, 1932								

Arctodiaptomus dorsalis (Marsh, 1907)	х	-	-	-	x	-	-	-
Mastigodiaptomus albuquerquensis (Herrick, 1895)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	x
M. amatitlanensis (Wilson, 1941)	-	-	-	-	-	-	x	-
Copepoda: Cyclopoida								
Family: Cyclopidae Kiefer, 1927								
Subfamily: Cyclopinae Kiefer, 1927								
Thermocyclops crassus (Fischer, 1853)*	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mesocyclops thermocyclopoides Harada, 1931*	x	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subfamily: Eucyclopinae Kiefer, 1927								
Eucyclops serrulatus (Fischer, 1851)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	x
Nauplii	х	х	х	x	-	x	-	x
Juvenile Cyclopoid	x	х	х	x	-	-	-	-
Juvenile Calanoid	х	х	х	x	-	-	-	-

# 3.1.2. Species Abundance

In this study, the total rotifer abundance was 522.7 ind  $L^{-1}$ . Rotifers represent the most abundant group in the lake; their numerical abundance is considerably higher than that recorded for copepods, including immature stages (7.1 ind  $L^{-1}$ ). Cladocerans were almost absent from our samples. 143

Species with the highest abundance at all sites were the rotifers *B. havanaensis* (109 144 ind  $L^{-1}$ ) and *K. americana* (304 ind  $L^{-1}$ ), with a considerably lower abundance in the eastern 145 area (9.3 and 121.8 ind  $L^{-1}$  respectively). Species of the family Brachionidae were the most 146 abundant mainly in western region (sites OC, BPO and MICH), whereas the lowest abundance of rotifers occurred in the eastern region (site EC) (see **Table 2**). 148

**Table 2.** Abundance (ind L<sup>-1</sup>) calculated from zooplankton samples for all the studied points of Lake Amatitlán in 2017.

<u>Constant</u>	Abundance (ind L <sup>-1</sup> )						
Species	EC	OC	BPO	MICH			
Brachionus angularis	0.00	0.00	71.56	1.87			
Brachionus calyciflorus	0.70	0.70	85.56	4.67			
Brachionus plicatilis	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.00			
Trichocerca cf. longiseta	0.00	0.00	14.00	12.60			
Trichocerca pusilla	0.00	8.40	13.22	11.20			
Asplanchna sieboldi	1.40	1.17	2.33	0.93			
Filinia longiseta	0.00	1.40	28.00	13.07			
Filinia terminalis	8.17	49.23	35.00	60.20			
Brachionus havanaensis	9.33	153.53	108.89	165.20			
Keratella americana	121.80	432.60	265.22	408.33			
Nauplii	3.50	2.57	3.11	1.40			
Juvenile Cyclopoid	5.83	1.63	1.56	0.93			
Juvenile Calanoid	2.80	0.47	3.89	0.47			
M. thermocyclopoides	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.00			

The local copepod abundance was represented mainly by nauplii and juvenile stages 150 of Calanoida and Cyclopoida (average= 2.6, 2.5 and 1.9 ind L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively), values resembling those recorded for the Rotifera like *B. plicatilis* (1.1 ind L<sup>-1</sup>) and *A. sieboldi* (2.3 ind L<sup>-1</sup>) in all the study sites, compared to adult copepods, where the abundance of the adult 153 *M. thermocyclopoides* present only in EC, was 0.23 ind L<sup>-1</sup>.

# 3.2. Environmental variables

Environmental variables values in both analyzed years, in general, presented basic 156 pH values, (>  $8 \pm 0.33$ ), dissolved oxygen showed an average of  $4.76 \pm 5.21$  and  $4.65 \pm 4.92$  157 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, whereas temperature averaged  $24 \pm 1.31$  °C, conductivity presented average values 158 of 655.95 \pm 59.52 and  $678.23 \pm 68.29$  µS cm<sup>-1</sup>, finally TDS showed average values of 339.99  $\pm 47.35$  and  $341.43 \pm 30.30$  mg L<sup>-1</sup> respectively (Table 3). 160

139

149

The historical data presented in **Table 3** show pH with slightly neutral values in 1969 161 to 1985-1995, whereas in the first two decades of the XXI century, the pH increased to 162 reach clearly basic values, over 8. The water temperature changed along the time, 19.86 163 °C in 1910 to 24.23 °C in 2017. Conductivity and Total Dissolved Solids decreased in average 18.29 and 44.10%, respectively. 165

**Table 3.** Historical and current environmental mean data of the water column recorded by previous surveys and this study. [24] Juday (1915), [26] Brezonik & Fox (1974), [7] Basterrechea-Díaz (1997), [27] Ellenberg (2014). ND: No Data available.

Environmental variables	<b>1910 [</b> 24]	<b>1969 [</b> 26]	1985-1995 [7]	<b>2008-2013 [</b> 27]	2016 ***	2017 ***
pH	ND	7.70	7.75	8.69	8.26	8.33
Water temperature (°C)	19.86	ND	22.75	25.00	24.46	24.23
Conductivity (µS cm <sup>-1</sup> )	ND	830	802	682	655.95	678.23
TDS (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	ND	ND	610	ND	339.99	341.43
Dissolved Oxygen O <sub>2</sub> (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	4.74*	8.40**	4.20	8.90	4.76	4.65

\* Data originally recorded in Cubic Centimeters per liter of water.

\*\* Original data recorded at surface.

\*\*\* Data recorded in this study.

# 4. Discussion

The environmental parameters surveyed in this study can show the progressive eutrophication on Lake Amatitlán, according to the historical data recorded by authors like Juday (1915) [24], Brezonik & Fox (1974) [26] Basterrechea-Díaz (1997) [7], and Ellenberg (2014) [27]. The historical change in environmental and biological variables could reveal strong evidence of the current eutrophication of this lake. For instance, the observed changes of pH values: an average of 8.26 and 8.33 in 2016-17 differ in contrast from the values recorded in 1969 (7.70) [26], 1985-1995 (7.75) [7], and 2008 (9.3) [17].

The basic pH and the high concentration of dissolved oxygen at the surface promoted 180 an increase of microzooplankters, like rotifers (especially *B. havanaensis* and *K. americana*) 181 and a decrease of larger species like cladocerans and adult copepods, indicators of the 182 system trophic state *per se*. Similar conditions have been recorded in American eutrophicated subtropical and tropical water bodies [4,28,29] and also in other water bodies (i. e., 184 temperate coastal water bodies) in which the replacement of larger copepod with smaller 185 ones has been reported resulting from the eutrophication process [6]. 186

Recently, the phytoplankton blooming has been described as a consequence of this 187 eutrophication progress in Lake Amatitlán, presenting a high concentration mainly in Mi-188 crocystis sp. and Dolichospermum sp. cyanobacteria preceded by the diatom algae Niszcha 189 sp. at the surface of the lake [9], which in turn allows herbivorous zooplankters like bra-190 chionid rotifers to become dominant organisms in eutrophicated epicontinental water-191 bodies [20]. In earlier studies on Lake Amatitlán, the zooplankton community was largely 192 dominated by cladocerans and copepods. In 1915 [24], zooplankton had a widely different 193 composition compared to our results: rotifers were then the less abundant zooplankton 194 group in the lake (0.3 ind L-1), preceded by copepods (11.6 ind L-1) and cladocerans, the 195 most abundant zooplankton group at that time (14.4 ind L-1). The system trophic state is 196 also related to the zooplankters body size: a stronger level of eutrophication is frequently 197 expressed by a greater abundance and species richness of microzooplankters like small 198 rotifers [4,6,28,29]. A possible explanation of the local absence or scariness of larger zoo-199 plankters (i. e. Ceriodaphnia sp., adult cyclopoid and calanoid copepods, including M. ama-200 titlanensis), could result from the competition for available food [5], eventually explaining 201 the strong dominance of small brachionid herbivorous rotifers like B. havanaensis and K. 202 americana. 203

The presence and high abundance of these latter species, together with another spe-204cies of *Brachionus* and *Keratella* at the east region of Amatitlán suggest that eutrophic con-205ditions make food available for these microphagous species [30].206

166

167 168

169

170

171

In the case of *A. dorsalis*, this species is widespread in America [31] and has been 207 recorded as an invasive exotic copepod in Asiatic waterbodies [32,33]. The environmental 208 conditions of Lake Amatitlán seem to be adequate for the development of this species 209 because it shows a selective feeding on phytoplankton; thus, it frequently inhabits moderately to strongly eutrophicate environments [31,32], like Amatitlán. 211

It is well known that many diaptomid copepods tend to have restricted distributional 212 patterns and endemic distributions in neotropical lakes [34]. Then, the local absence of the 213 endemic copepod M. amatitlanensis in this study could be another indication of the pro-214 gressive eutrophication of Lake Amatitlán; because since its description by Wilson (1941) 215 [25], this species has not been recorded in other regional studies (i. e. Elías-Gutiérrez et al., 216 2008 [35]; Brandorff, 2012 [11] and Gutiérrez-Aguirre, et al., 2020 [36]) It is probable that 217 M. amatitlanensis occurs in other lakes of Guatemala (or Central America) and it is ex-218 pected to be collected from adjacent systems. It is also probable that this species dwells at 219 higher depths not easily reached by standard nets. 220

Our results showed a clear zonification; the eastern region (site EC) diverges from 221 the other sites because of the absence of adjacent rivers (see **Figure 1**), its distance from 222 the other sampling points (the closest site is OC, 7.04 km away) and its separation from 223 other sites due to a train riel which divides the lake in two [14]. Therefore, the EC area has 224 the best conservation status of the lake, precisely where we found the greatest species 225 richness and the larger zooplankters, like the copepods T. crassus (average body length of 226 0.56-0.93 mm) [37], M. thermocyclopoides (0.78-0.89 mm) [38], and A. dorsalis (0.77-1.13 mm) 227 [31] among them. Thus, it is convenient to consider EC as a potential conservation site 228 since it has better environmental conditions for the conservation and preservation of zoo-229 plankton biodiversity. 230

On the other hand, we report the presence of two exotic cyclopoid copepod species 231 for the Central American Lake Amatitlán and Guatemala country, M. thermocyclopoides 232 and T. crassus. M. thermocyclopoides is a native species from Taiwan and well spread in 233 Asia and Africa, and commonly widespread at tropical latitudes. This species have been 234 recorded in lakes from South Mexico in epicontinental waterbodies from Chiapas state, 235 Mexico, considered that their introduction may be related to anthropic factors (i. e. agri-236 culture, and aquaculture) [37,38]. This is the second record of the invasion of these species 237 in Central American countries, since they has been recorded before in Costa Rican water 238 bodies by Collado et al. (1894) [39], and it is well known the ecological potential of Meso-239 cyclops use as biocontrol of vector mosquitoes like Aedes aegypti, [40-42]. Therefore, its 240 finding in Guatemalan lakes represents a source for mass culture of this copepod to be 241 used as biocontrol. 242

*Thermocyclops crassus* is commonly spread at tropical latitudes in Africa, Australia 243 and Asia, it was recorded in Laurentian great lakes in the United States of America [43], 244 recorded for the first time in tropical lakes from Tabasco state, Mexico [37] and in small 245 ponds of San José Province in Costa Rica [39]. Being a thermophilic species, *T. crassus* has 246 a narrow temperature tolerance, being a thermophilic species [44], so it may be a local 247 indicator of the temperature changes in the lake along time. 248

Finally, the physical, chemical, and biological conditions of the lake have clearly 249 changed over time, from being a lake with oligotrophic characteristics to hypertrophic 250 conditions in a relatively short period of time, (100 years, approximately) and allowed us 251 to follow and describe the stages and speed of the eutrophication process of a large neotropical lake. 253

## 5. Conclusions

The historical analysis of zooplankton composition in the lake presented in this study 255 reinforce the knowledge of its eutrophic state, suggesting a useful role of the zooplankton 256 as bioindicators and made possible the visualization of the changes in its composition over 257 time, showing the progressive trophic state towards to eutrophic or hypereutrophic con-258 ditions. 259

Probably the absence of the endemic species *M. amatitlanensis* is a warning sign re-260 garding the accelerated loss of biodiversity and reinforces the idea that zooplankton is a 261 great tool as a bioindicator of the health status for continental aquatic ecosystems, in both, 262 tropical and temperate latitudes. 263

Further studies analyzing bottom sediments to search resting eggs of zooplankton in 264 Lake Amatitlán and around it, can answer the question of the absence of M. amatitlanensis, 265 where this type of knowledge is also scarce in inland aquatic systems of the region. 266

Finally, is convenient to consider the isolated site EC as a focal point for conservation 267 since it presents better environmental conditions for the conservation and preservation of 268 zooplankton biodiversity, due to the record of largest zooplankters found in this site. 269

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.J. and A.C.M.; methodology, S.J, A.C.M. M.A.G.A, 270E.S.M, J.R.J.P, E.M.R.S, and V.H.D.B; software, S.J.; validation, S.J, A.C.M. M.A.G.A, E.S.M, J.R.J.P, 271 E.M.R.S, and V.H.D.B.; formal analysis, S.J, A.C.M. M.A.G.A, E.S.M, J.R.J.P, E.M.R.S, and V.H.D.B; 272 investigation, S.J, A.C.M. M.A.G.A, E.S.M, J.R.J.P, E.M.R.S, and V.H.D.B.; resources, J.R.J.P. and 273 E.M.R.S; data curation, S.J.; writing—original draft preparation, S.J; writing—review and editing, 274 S.J, A.C.M. M.A.G.A, E.S.M, J.R.J.P, E.M.R.S, and V.H.D.B, ; visualization, S.J. and A.C.M.; supervi-275 sion, S.J and A.C.M; project administration, S.J, A.C.M. M.A.G.A, E.S.M, J.R.J.P, E.M.R.S, and 276 V.H.D.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript. 277

Funding: This research was funded by the National Council of Science and Technology (CONA-278 CYT) with the Investigator SNI-III Assistant Program, Grant Number 19812. 279

Institutional Review Board Statement: Zooplankton collected in this study were provided by 280 AMSA in Guatemala, zooplankton is not under any protection by Guatemalan laws; therefore, no 281 specific permits are required for this kind of field. 282

Acknowledgments: We gratefully acknowledge the invitation of Dr. Manuel Elías-Gutiérrez to con-283 tribute to this special issue of the Selected Papers from 1st International Electronic Conference on 284 Biological Diversity, Ecology and evolution, and his valuable suggestions that made possible this 285 research. We acknowledge AMSA for all the biological material and data bases that made possible 286 the present study. Anonymous reviewers made valuable comments and suggestions. University of 287 Quintana Roo, Cozumel with the investigation group vulnerability of continental and coastal water 288 systems and the National Council of Science and Technology (CONACYT) with the Investigator 289 SNI-III Assistant Program (Number 19812) gave financial support. 290

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

1	José de Paggi, S. B.; Wallace, R.; Fontaneto, D.; Marinone, M. C. Phylum Rotifera. In Thorp and Covich's Freshwater Invertebrates;	293
	Damborenea, C., Rogers, C. D., James, T., Eds.; Elsevier Science Publishing Co Inc, San Diego, United States, 2020; pp 145-	294
	200.	295
2	Cervantes-Martínez, A.; Gutiérrez-Aguirre, M. A. Physicochemistry and Zooplankton of Two Karstic Sinkholes in the Yuca-	296
	tan Peninsula, Mexico. J. Limnol. 2015, 74 (2), 382–393. https://doi.org/10.4081/jlimnol.2014.976.	297
3	Suárez-Morales, E.; Reid, J. W.; Ilige, T.; Fiers, F. Catálogo de Los Copépodos (Crustacea) Continentales de La Península de Yucatán,	298
	México; Chetumal, Quintana Roo, México. Comisión Nacional para el Conocimiento y Uso de la Biodiversidad, Ciudad de	299
	México, México, 1996; 296 p.	300

- 4 Gómez-Márquez, J. L.; Peña-Mendoza, B.; Guzmán-Santiago, J. L.; Gallardo-Pineda, V. Composición, Abundancia Del Zoo-301 plancton y Calidad de Agua En Un Microreservorio En El Estado de Morelos. Hidrobiologica 2013, 23 (2), 227-240. 302
- 5 Gama Flores, J. L.; Sarma, S. S. S.; López Rocha, A. N.; Nandini, S. Effects of Cladoceran-Conditioned Medium on the De-303 mography of Brachionid Rotifers (Rotifera: Brachionidae). Hydrobiologia 2018, 844 (1), 21–30. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-304 018-3853-z. 305
- Uye, S. ichi. Replacement of Large Copepods by Small Ones with Eutrophication of Embayments: Cause and Consequence. 6 306 Hydrobiologia 1994, 292-293 (1), 513-519. 307

291

7	Basterrechea-Díaz, M. El Lago de Amatitlán: Década de Estudios Limnológicos 1985-1995; Academia de Ciencias Médicas, Físicas	308
	y Naturales de Guatemala, Guatemala, 1997; 45 p.	309
8	Sigui, N. ¿Por Qué Continúa La Contaminación de Aguas En Guatemala? <i>Ciencia, Tecnol. y Salud</i> 2016, 3 (2), 167–176.	310
9	Rodas-Pernillo, E.; Vasquez-Moscoso, C. A.; García, O. F. Dinámica Del Consumo y Aporte de Nutrientes de Fitoplancton,	311
	Dominado Por Microcystis sp. (Cyanophyceae) Del Lago de Amatitlán. Ciencia, Tecnol. y Salud 2020, 7, 2409–3459.	312
10	Richardson-Varas, R.; Muñoz-Luza, M.; Landeros-Cáceres, F.; Contreras-Celis, V.; Carranza-González, J.; Nuñez, M.;	313
	Hernández, E.; Alfonso-Álvarez, R.; Ramos, E.; Mazariegos, J.; Girón, J.; Velázquez, M. Índice de Fragilidad Ambiental En	314
	Las Cuencas Hidro-Geomorfológicas Del Lago Peñuelas, Chile y Del Lago Amatitlán, Guatemala. Rev. Geográfica 2015, 156,	315
	98–109.	316
11	Brandorff, G. O. Distribution of Some Calanoida (Crustacea: Copepoda) from the Yucatán Peninsula, Belize and Guatemala.	317
	<i>Rev. Biol. Trop.</i> <b>2012</b> , <i>60</i> (1), 187–202.	318
12	Cervantes-Martínez, A.; Elías-Gutiérrez, M.; Suárez-Morales, E. Limnological and Morphometrical Data of Eight Karstic Sys-	319
	tems "cenotes" of the Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico, during the Dry Season (February-May, 2001). Hydrobiologia 2002, 482, 167-	320
	177.	321
13	Schmitter-Soto, J. J.; Comín, F. A.; Escobar-Briones, E.; Herrera-Silveira, J.; Alcocer, J.; Suárez-Morales, E.; Elías-Gutiérrez, M.;	322
	Díaz-Arce, V.; Marín, L. E.; Steinich, B. Hydrogeochemical and Biological Characteristics of Cenotes in the Yucatan Peninsula	323
	(SE Mexico). <i>Hydrobiologia</i> <b>2002</b> , 467, 215–228.	324
14	Jiménez, S.; Juárez, J.; Trujillo, L.; Dubón, S.; Valenzuela, O.; M, C. A. Calidad de Agua de La Cuenca y Lago de Amatitlán; División	325
	de Control, Calidad Ambiental y Manejo de Lagos, Guatemala, 2015; 32 p.	326
15	Elías-Gutiérrez, M.; Kotov, A. A.; Garfias-Espejo, T. Cladocera (Crustacea: Ctenopoda, Anomopoda) from Southern Mexico,	327
	Belize and Northern Guatemala, with Some Biogeographical Notes. Zootaxa 2006, No. 1119, 1–27.	328
16	García-Morales, A. E.; Elías-Gutiérrez, M. The Rotifer Fauna of Guatemala and Belize: Survey and Biogeographical Affinities.	329
	Rev. Biol. Trop. 2007, 55, 569–584.	330
17	Pérez, L.; Bugja, R.; Lorenschat, J.; Brenner, M.; Curtis, J.; Hoelzmann, P.; Islebe, G.; Scharf, B.; Schwalb, A. Aquatic Ecosys-	331
	tems of the Yucatán Peninsula (Mexico), Belize, and Guatemala. Hydrobiologia 2011, 661 (1), 407–433.	332
18	Cervantes-Martínez, A.; Elías-Gutiérrez, M.; Gutiérrez-Aguirre, M. A.; Kotov, A. A. Ecological Remarks on Mastigodiaptomus	333
	Nesus Bowman, 1986 (Copepoda: Calanoida) in a Mexican Karstic Sinkhole. Hydrobiologia 2005, 542, 95–102.	334
19	Ramírez García, P.; Nandini, S.; Sarma, S. S. S.; Robles Valderrama, E.; Cuesta, I.; Hurtado, M. D. Seasonal Varations of	335
	Zooplankton Abundance in the Freshwater Reservoir Valle de Bravo (Mexico). Hydrobiologia 2002, 467, 99–108.	336
20	Koste, W. Rotatoria: Die Rädertiere Mitteleuropas: Ein Bestimmunswerk Bengründet von Max Voigt Überordnung Monogononta.;	337
	Gebrüder Borntraeger, Berlin, Stuttgart, 1978; 234 p.	338
21	Fontaneto, D.; De Smet, W. H. Rotifera. In Handbook of zoology Gastrotricha, Cycloneuralia and Gnathifera. Vol 3; Rhaera, A. S.,	339
	Ed.; De Gruyter-GmbH, Berlin, 2015; pp 217–196.	340
22	Elías-Gutiérrez, M; Suárez-Morales, E; Gutiérrez-Aguirre, M. A; Silva-Briano, M; Granados-Ramírez, J. G y Garfias-Espejo,	341
	T. Cladocera y Copepoda de Las Aguas Continentales de México. Guía Ilustrada; Universidad Autónoma de México, Ciudad de	342
	México, México, 2008; 322 p.	343
23	Suarez-Morales, E.; Gutiérrez-Aguirre, M. A.; Gómez, S.; Perbiche-Neves, G.; Previattelli, D.; Dos Santos-Silva, N.; da Rocha,	344
	C. E. F.; Mercado-Salas, N. F.; Manriquez, T. M.; Cruz-Quintana, Y.; Santana-Piñeros, A. M. Class Copepoda. In Thorp and	345
	Covich's Freshwater Invertebrates : Volume 5: Keys to Neotropical and Antarctic Fauna; Damborenea, C., Rogers, C. D., James, T.,	346
	Eds.; Elsevier Science Publishing Co Inc., San Diego, United States, 2020; pp 663-796.	347
24	Juday, C. Limnological Studies on Some Lakes in Central America. Wisconsin Acad. Sci. Arts, Lett. 1915, 18, 214–250.	348
25	Wilson, M. S. New Species and Distribution Records of Diaptomid Copepods from the Marsh Collection in the United States	349

	National Museum. J. Washingt. Acad. Sci. 1941, 31 (12), 509–515.	350
26	Brezonik, P. L.; Fox, J. L. The Limnology of Selected Guatemalan Lakes. Hydrobiologia 1974, 45 (4), 467–487.	351
27	Ellenberg, R. L. Limnology of Lake Amatitlán in Guatemala and Its Eutrophication Process, PhD thesis, Technical University	352
	of Berlin. Berlin, Germany 128 p., 2014.	353
28	Frutos, S. M.; Poi, A. S. G.; Neiff, J. J. Zooplankton Abundance and Species Diversity in Two Lakes with Different Trophic	354
	States (Corrientes, Argentina) Abundância e Diversidade Específica Do Zooplâncton Em Dois Lagos. Acta Limnol Bras 2009,	355
	21 (3), 367–375.	356
29	Moreno-Gutiérrez, R. M.; Sarma, S. S. S.; Sobrino-Figueroa, A. S.; Nandini, S. Population Growth Potential of Rotifers from a	357
	High Altitude Eutrophic Waterbody, Madín Reseivoir (State of Mexico, Mexico): The Importance of Seasonal Sampling. J.	358
	Med. Virol. 2020, No. Ii, 0–3. https://doi.org/10.4081/jlimnol.2018.	359
30	Obertegger, U.; Smith, H. A.; Flaim, G.; Wallace, R. L. Using the Guild Ratio to Characterize Pelagic Rotifer Communities.	360
	Hydrobiologia <b>2011</b> , 662 (1), 157–162.	361
31	Reid, J. W. Arctodiaptomus dorsalis (Marsh): A Case History of Copepod Dispersal. Banisteria 2007, 1860 (30), 3-18.	362
32	Papa, R. D. S.; Li, H.; Tordesillas, D. T.; Han, B.; Dumont, H. J. Massive Invasion of Arctodiaptomus dorsalis (Copepoda, Cal-	363
	anoida, Diaptomidae) in Philippine Lakes: A Threat to Asian Zooplankton Biodiversity? Biol. Invasions 2012, 14 (12), 2471-	364
	2478.	365
33	Metillo, E. B.; Masorong, A. M.; Macabangkit, S. A. N.; Licayan, J. R. U.; Tordesillas, D. T.; Papa, R. D. S. First Record of the	366
	Invasive Arctodiaptomus dorsalis (Marsh, 1907) (Copepoda: Calanoida: Diaptomidae) in Lake Lanao (Mindanao Is., Philip-	367
	pines). Acta Manila. Ser. A. <b>2014</b> , 62, 19–23.	368
34	Perbiche-Neves, G.; Previattelli, D.; Pie, M. R.; Duran, A.; Suárez-Morales, E.; Boxshall, G. A.; Nogueira, M. G.; da Rocha, C.	369
	E. F. Historical Biogeography of the Neotropical Diaptomidae (Crustacea: Copepoda). Front. Zool. 2014, 11 (36).	370
	https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-9994-11-36.	371
35	Elías-Gutiérrez, M.; Jerónimo, F. M.; Ivanova, N. V.; Valdez-Moreno, M.; Hebert, P. D. N. DNA Barcodes for Cladocera and	372
	Copepoda from Mexico and Guatemala, Highlights and New Discoveries. Zootaxa 2008, No. 1839, 1–42.	373
36	Gutiérrez-Aguirre, M. A.; Cervantes-Martínez, A.; Elías-Gutiérrez, M.; Lugo-Vázquez, A. Remarks on Mastigodiaptomus (Cal-	374
	anoida: Diaptomidae) from Mexico Using Integrative Taxonomy, with a Key of Identification and Three New Species. PeerJ	375
	<b>2020</b> , No. 1. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.8416.	376
37	Gutiérrez-Aguirre, M. A.; Suárez-Morales, E. The Eurasian Thermocyclops crassus (Fischer, 1853) (Copepoda, Cyclopoida)	377
	Found in Southeastern Mexico. Crustaceana 2000, 73 (6), 705–713.	378
38	Gutiérrez-Aguirre, M. A.; Reid, J. W.; Suárez-Morales, E. An Afro-Asian Species of Mesocyclops (Copepoda: Cyclopoida) in	379
	Central America and Mexico. J. Crustac. Biol. 2003, 23 (2), 352–363.	380
39	Collado, C.; Defaye, D.; Dussart, B. H.; Fernando, C. H. The Freshwater Copepoda (Crustacea) of Costa Rica with Notes on	381
	Some Species. <i>Hydrobiologia</i> <b>1984</b> , 119 (2), 89–99.	382
40	Gutiérrez-Aguirre, M. A.; Suárez-Morales, E.; Cervantes-Martínez, A.; Elías-Gutiérrez, M.; Previattelli, D. The Neotropical	383
	Species of Mesocyclops (Copepoda, Cyclopoida): An Upgraded Identification Key and Comments on Selected Taxa. J. Nat.	384
	Hist. 2006, 40 (9–10), 549–570.	385
41	Suárez-Morales, E.; Gutiérrez-Aguirre, M. A.; Elías-Gutiérrez, M. Observations on the Structure of Mandibular Gnathobase	386
	in Some American Mesocyclops (Copepoda: Cyclopidae). Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington. 2003, pp 742–753.	387
42	Cervantes-Martínez, A.; Gutiérrez-Aguirre, M. A.; Delgado-Blas, V. H.; Ruíz-Ramírez, JD. Especies de Zooplancton Dulceacuí-	388
	cola de Cozumel;, Quintana Roo, Universidad de Quintana Roo (UQROO), Cozumel, Chetumal, 2018; 86 p.	389
43	Connolly, J. K.; Watkins, J. M.; Hinchey, E. K.; Rudstam, L. G.; Reid, J. W. New Cyclopoid Copepod (Thermocyclops crassus)	390
	Reported in the Laurentian Great Lakes. J. Great Lakes Res. 2017, 43 (3), 198–203.	391

Verbitsky, V. B.; Lazareva, V. I.; Medyantseva, E. N.; Malysheva, O. A.; Zhdanova, S. M.; Verbitskaya, T. I.; Grishanin, A. K.
The Preferred and Avoidance Temperatures of *Thermocyclops crassus* (Fischer, 1853) and Their Relation to the Temperature
of Optimal, Pessimal and Normal Performance of the Species. *J. Therm. Biol.* 2018, 78, 106–113.